

Minutes of the Meeting of the
Search Committee
16th September 2009

Present: Mr R Gough (in the chair)
Mrs G Genner
Mr B Davies

Apologies: Mr W Hunt

Appointment of Student Governor/Composition of the Governing Body

Andrew Jarvis, Clerk to the Governors informed the Committee that the election of a student governor to sit on the full Governing Body is to take place during the Autumn term.

Members considered, as part of this item, the composition of the Governing Body and agreed to recommend to the full Governing Body that the total membership remain at sixteen and in the format as set out below:

Business Governors (6)	:	Mr P Cooper Mr H Haines Mr D Collins Ms M Lippard Mr J Dewar Mr D Bull
Co-opted Governors (3)	:	Ms J Hare Mrs L James Mr J Cuthbert
Staff Governors (2)	:	Mrs L Cottrell Mr B Hunt
Nominated Local Bodies Governors (1)	:	Mrs G Genner
Principal (1)	:	Mr B Davies
Student Governor (1)	:	Vacancy
Nominated Lea Representative	:	Mr R Gough Mr D Hardacre

Link Governor Arrangements – Technology Department

The Committee discussed the link governor arrangements for the Department of Technology and agreed that the Clerk to the Governors approach one of the Governing Body membership to fill the vacancy of link governor role within Technology.

Report of the Clerk to the Governors – Governor Targets 2008/2009 and 2009/2010

Andrew Jarvis, Clerk to the Governors presented details of the targets previously agreed by the Governing Body together with details of the performance of the Governing Body against the agreed targets for 2008/2009. It was noted that in cases where individual targets had not been achieved then appropriate reasons had been provided. The Committee determined to recommend to the Governing Body the governor targets for 2009/2010 as outlined in the attached report.

Report of the Clerk to the Governors on the findings of the Governor Self Assessment Survey

The Committee considered the findings of the self assessment survey as outlined in the report prepared by the Clerk to the Governors (copy attached). Members noted that the survey had identified that in each of the four categories measured members had graded their overall performance on average at or above grade 2 ie either many strengths (grade 1) or strengths clearly outweigh any weaknesses (grade 2).

It was noted that the self assessment process is to take part on an annual basis with a quality development plan and performance indicators developed from the results of the assessment.